

# Task T -- CMS at the LHC

### D. Carlsmith, D. Reeder, W. Smith\* Professors

D. Loveless Senior Scientist

J. Lackey\* Senior Engineer

W. Badgett\*, S. Dasu\* Assistant Scientists

> F. Feyzi PSL Engineers

M. Jaworski\* Physics Dept. Engineer

Calorimeter Trigger\* -- W. Smith

Simulation Results

Hardware Developments

Common Projects -- R. Loveless Muon Project -- D. Reeder



## Wisconsin Senior Personnel Responsibilities

## **D. Reeder**

- Acting US CMS Spokesman
- CMS Managment Board
- US CMS Collaboration Board Chair
- US CMS Steering Committee
- US CMS Project Management Group
- US CMS Executive Committee

### W. Smith

- CMS Trigger Project Manager
- CMS Steering Committee & Management Board
- US CMS Trigger Level 2 Manager
- US CMS Steering Committee
- US CMS Project Management Group
- LHC Electronics Board

### R. Loveless

- US CMS Common Projects Level 2 Manager
- Endcap Muon System Technical Coordinator
- CMS Technical Board
- US CMS Steering Committee
- US CMS Project Management Group

## 

# **CMS Trigger Organization**







# **Trigger Project Management**

### CMS Annual Reviews

- April: TriDAS Status
  - Progress, draft R&D plans & expenses for next year
- November: TriDAS Internal Review
  - R&D Plans/Progress, Cost & Schedule, Milestones
  - Finalize R&D plans & expenses for next year
  - Internal CMS Review w/CMS referees
- Internal Electronics Reviews by LHC Electronics Board CMS Reps.
  - G. Hall (Imperial), G. Stefanini (CERN), W. Smith (Wisc.)
  - Reports to CMS Management Board (next trigger review in Fall '98)

#### **US Reviews/Reporting**

- Monthly Video Conferences:
  - Florida, Rice, UCLA, Wisconsin, Davis (sim)
    - Review Progress, milestones, simulation activities
- Integration Meetings:
  - Calorimeter Trigger: FNAL, Maryland, Wisconsin
  - Muon Trigger: Ohio, Florida, Rice, UCLA, Wisconsin, others.
- Annual Site Visits: Florida, Rice, UCLA



## **Infrastructure Grant**

- HP-RISC Processor Farm
- Scopes
- Pulse Generators
- Test Equipment
- (augmented by SSC equipment)
- **Mentor Graphics Grant** 
  - Full CAD Software Suite

## **UW 95-96 Support of Personnel**

- J. Lackey
- S. Dasu
- PSL Engineering

## **UW Equipment Support**

- Teleconference Equipment
- Video Room Remodeling
- CAD Printers
- **UW CAD support** 
  - Purchase of Visula PCB Suite
  - Includes specialized high speed router
  - New version of Zeus trigger design suite



40 MHz

# **CMS Trigger Levels**

#### Level-1. Specialized processors

- Particle ID: electron/ $\gamma$ , muon, jets, missing E<sub>+</sub>
- Coarse granularity to reduce data volume
- Local pattern recognition and energy sums



TByte/Day

Mass Storage





### **FASTSIM - Fast simulation of event response**

- Simplified CMS geometry, uniform tracking medium, meson decays & parameterized calorimeter showers
  CMSIM - Version 111
  - CMS standard GEANT based detector simulation
  - Detailed calorimeter geometry, average tracker

#### **PYTHIA - common for FASTSIM/CMSIM**

- QCD background events are used for rate studies.
- High P<sub>t</sub> signal events, e.g., top, Higgs and SUSY particle decays, are used for efficiency studies.
- Noise hits are superposed with high P<sub>t</sub> events.
- Minimum bias included FASTSIM minbias is added for both CMSIM and FASTSIM.

#### **Trigger simulation - common for FASTSIM/CMSIM**

- Various digital scales with limited resolution and dynamic range involved in the trigger system are fully implemented.
- Algorithms are performed in integer arithmetic using memory lookup tables when needed.

### **Wisconsin Role:**

- FASTSIM & CMSIM Trigger Code Author: S. Dasu
- Upgrade of FASTSIM & New CMSIM: W. Badgett



## **Electron/Photon Rates**

### Electron/photon trigger rates



Integrated rate above E<sub>t</sub> cut is plotted versus E<sub>t</sub> cut. All four, i.e., finegrain, HAC veto, neighbor HAC veto and quiet neighborhood, cuts are included.

For 25 GeV E, cut, CMSIM rate is 9 kHz versus to 4 kHz in FASTSIM



## **Electron/photon Efficiency**



Efficiency for triggering top to electron decay events is plotted versus the P<sub>t</sub> of the electron for various cuts.

Identical values for the four cut parameters yield similar efficiencies custom tuning was not necessary.

All efficiencies are over 90%.

Note: drop at high E<sub>t</sub> is artificial. Actual trigger removes conditions at high E<sub>t</sub>



# **Physics at high luminosity**

Wisconsin Simulation for Luminosity = 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>

| Trigger<br>Type | Trigger<br>Et<br>Cutoff<br>(GeV) | Rate (kHz) |             |            |             |                                                           | Efficiency (%) |         |       |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------|-------|
|                 |                                  | CMSIM      |             | FASTSIM    |             | Process                                                   | CMS-TN-95/183  | FASTSIM | CMSIN |
|                 |                                  | Individual | Incremental | Individual | Incremental |                                                           | 07             |         | 0.1   |
| Sum Et          | 400                              | 0.3        | 0.3         | 0.4        | 0.4         | $\Pi (80 \text{ GeV}) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$          | 97             | 92      | 94    |
| Missing Et      | 80                               | 1.2        | 0.9         | 1.7        | 1.3         | H (120 GeV) $\rightarrow$ Z Z $\rightarrow$ e e $\mu\mu$  | 76*            | 76*     | 74*   |
| Electron        | 25                               | 11.4       | 9.3         | 4.5        | 3.9         |                                                           |                |         |       |
| DiElectron      | 12                               | 2.1        | 1.8         | 1.0        | 1.0         | H (200 GeV) $\rightarrow$ Z Z $\rightarrow$ e e j j       | 99             | 96      | 95    |
| Single jet      | 100                              | 1.5        | 1.0         | 2.0        | 1.3         | $p p \to t t \to e X$                                     | 88             | 82      | 82    |
| Dijet           | 60                               | 1.2        | 0.7         | 1.9        | 1.1         | $p p \rightarrow t t \rightarrow H_{+} X \rightarrow t X$ | 82             | 76      | 76    |
| Trijet          | 30                               | 2.3        | 1.3         | 3.1        | 1.8         |                                                           |                |         |       |
| Quadjet         | 20                               | 2.6        | 1.1         | 3.3        | 1.4         | SUSY CMS TP Scenario A<br>(MLSP = 45, Mspart ~ 300 GeV)   | 83#            | -       | -     |
| Jet+Elctrn      | 50 & 12                          | 1.3        | 0.3         | 0.7        | 0.2         | Signal Efficiency (no offline cuts)                       |                |         |       |
| Cumulative Rate |                                  | 16.7       |             | 12.4       |             |                                                           |                |         |       |

#### **QCD Background**

The sum & missing E, cutoffs chosen to yield 2 kHz rate.

High efficiency for all channels with electrons and photons.

The difficult-to-trigger top decay events have high efficiency, enabling studies of Electron/photon triggers are emphasized, associated Higgs production.

~8 kHz rate out of total available 15 kHz. \*Inclusion of muon trigger gives full Remaining 5 kHz available for jet triggers.efficiency



## Calorimeter Trigger Overview Wisconsin Responsibility Circled





Into 152 rear-mounted Receiver Cards (proto. being built)
160 MHz point to point backplane (proto. built)

• 19 Clock&Control (proto. built), 152 Electron Identification,

19 Jet/Summary Cards & Receivers operate @ 160 MHz



32 Channels =

4 Ch. x 8 mezzanine cards 1.2 GBaud copper rcvrs 18 bit (2x9) data + 5 bit error Vitesse Chip:

**Converts Serial to Parallel** 

nt: Data from Rear @ 120 MHz TTL Phase ASIC: Deskew,Mux @ 160MHz Error bit for each 4x4, Test Vectors Memory LUT @ 160 MHz Adder ASIC: 8 inputs @ 160 MHz in 25 ns. (built!)

Differential Output@160 MHz



## 8 x 13-bit 160 MHz Adder ASIC

### Vitesse 0.6µ H-GaAs Process: ECL I/O

- 13 bits per operand x 8 operands
- Single thirteen bit output
- Latency: 25 ns @ 160 MHz
- Full Boundary Scan support

### **Technical analysis by Vitesse**

- •~11,000 cells
- 4 Watts
- 308 MHz

#### Status:

- 5 tested devices delivered
- select nets exceed simulation speed by 10%



**Receiver Card:** 

- J. Lackey



## **Receiver Card Prototype**

### Card built, assembly next





### **Monolithic 9U High Backplane**

- Incorporates std. 32 bit VME in top connector position
  - Single 128 pin DIN in Trigger Processor Card area
  - Two 96 pin DIN connectors in left most slot positions
- Data sharing on backplane
  - Reduces the number of receivers, serial to parallel convertors, and synchronizing circuits
- Stripline construction w/ five ground and power planes
  - 50  $\Omega$  impedance to match connectors and boards
  - 1 oz. copper with multiple power points
- Five signal layers
  - Handles routing density thru connector pins
  - Differential pairs are held to the same layer
  - Point to point on high speed data paths @ 160 MHz





### T 1:Rcvr-E.I. 2:clk-sync 3:clk-reset 4 Recvr Cards, 4 Electron Iso, 1Jet/Sum

Wisconsin CMS Group



## **160 MHz Backplane Prototype**





## **Backplane Test Setup**



Top rear view of crate & backplane with power supplies

Front view of crate & backplane with clock board installed

Wisconsin CMS Group



**Conclusions:** 

\*one side of differential pair

Output of backplane rise 820 ps

- Output of backplane fall 840 ps
- Measured 20% to 80%



# CMS Cal. Trigger '98/'99

## Full dataflow tests

- Receiver Card Prototype
- Backplane Prototype
- Electron Identification Card Prototype

## **Electron Isolation ASIC**

- Design in Vitesse GaAs
- Produce Prototype

### **Prototype Jet Summary Board**

- Trigger data summary generation
- Data transmission to global cal. trig.

### Intercrate data transfer

- Second crate & backplane
- Test transmit/align techniques

## **System Design**

### • Interfaces to HCAL & ECAL (Geometry) Detailed Simulation

- Update/improve full GEANT CMSIM
- Verify against fast simulation results
- Study processing of level 1 by higher levels
- study b-physics triggers
- use of quiet regions by muon triggers



**RIDAS:** 

## Conclusions from May '98 Lehman Review

"The U.S. CMS Trigger and Data Acquisition groups have made excellent progress since the previous Lehman Review. The effort has strong leadership in place and is capable of meeting their obligations to CMS."

### Trigger:

"The Trigger group is well advanced in design and has a clearly defined path to completion" Simulation:

"The trigger simulation studies...provided estimates of the overall Level 1 trigger rate which are important for justifying the reduction in the Level 1 trigger rate and associated DAQ bandwidth from 100 kHz to 75 kHz."

#### **Technical, Cost & Schedule:**

"The Trigger project is well advanced and have developed detailed planning and reference documentation...the Trigger and DAQ groups are well managed and have clear mechanisms in place for decision-making and for reporting and monitoring expenses."





## **CMS Regional Calorimeter Trigger**

### • Inputs on 1.2 Gbaud Cu serial links

- 8-bits energy & 1 bit fine grain/trigger tower
- Careful mapping of calorimeter towers into trigger logic

### • Receiver Card scales, sums, preprocesses

- Prototype being manufactured
- Designed to receive data from serial links
- Designed to operate @ 160 MHz
- 13 x 8 bit Adder ASIC tested > 160 MHz
- Backplane for VME & trigger data
  - Prototype constructed/tested
  - Prototype Clock Card constructed/tested
  - Signal performance excellent @ 160 MHz
  - Confirmation of design feasibility
- Electron Isolation & Jet Summary Cards
  - Receive data from Backplane
  - Algorithms matched to data & physics
  - Next on development plan
- Simulation & Hardware Plans for '98/'99
  - Comprehensive program